

Die folder

No. of Contract of	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION CO			
2	JIM IRVIN			
3	COMMISSIONER - CHAII RENZ D. JENNINGS COMMISSIONER	RMAN	AUG 0 6 1998	
4	CARL J. KUNASEK COMMISSIONER		DOGGETTED BY truck	
5	In the matter of:	1.	DOCKET NO. S-03047A-97-0000	
6)			
7	RONALD H. WEINER dba RON WEINER) ASSOCIATES)			
8	11198 North 129th Way) Scottsdale, AZ 85259)			
9	CRD #203929	į	DECISIONING (* 104/)	
1	DOUGLAS DEAN SACKETT		DECISION NO. <u>(a1040</u>	
10	1040 W. Oregon Ave. Klamath Falls, OR 97601)	•	
11	RD #1006917)	•	
12	Respondents.		ODENIANI AND ODDED	
13)	OPINION AND ORDER	
14	DATE OF HEARING:	May 26, June 5, Jun	e 26, 1998	
15	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, Arizona		
16	PRESIDING OFFICER:	Barbara M. Behun		
17	APPEARANCES:	Mr. Ronald H. Weir	ner, in propria persona, and	
18		Ms. Norma B. Marte	son, Special Assistant Attorney General, and ens, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of ion of the Arizona Corporation Commission.	
19	BY THE COMMISSION:		· ·	
20				
21	DISCUSSION On Control 20, 1007 at a Control Division (Division ") of the Arizon Control Contr			
22	On October 28, 1997, the Securities Division (Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission			
23	("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice"), naming the above-captioned			
24	Respondents. The Notice alleged violations of the Arizona Securities Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1801, et seq			
25	("Act"), specifically A.R.S. §§ 44-1841, 44-1842 and 44-1991. Respondent Ronald H. Weiner ("Weiner") dba Ron Weiner Associates ("RWA") filed a request for a hearing on November 24, 1997			
26				
27	By Procedural Order on November 26, 1997, the hearing against Respondent Weiner dba RWA was so			
27	for December 18, 1997. Respondent Douglas Dean Sackett ("Sackett") filed a request for a hearing of			

December 2, 1997. By Procedural Order on December 3, 1997, the hearing against Respondent Sackett

was consolidated with the hearing against Respondent Weiner dba RWA scheduled for December 18.

The matter was continued numerous times, with each party having requested continuances. The record was opened for a hearing scheduled on May 26, 1998, at which Respondent Weiner dba RWA received an additional continuance to June 5, 1998. Respondent Sackett did not appear at the hearing. At the scheduled time for hearing on June 5, 1998. Respondent Weiner dba RWA and the Division informed the Commission that they had entered into a settlement which would be submitted for Commission approval at the next scheduled Open Meeting. Respondent Sackett did not appear on June 5, 1998.

The hearing was rescheduled for June 29, 1998, at which the Division intended to submit evidence in support of the Notice against any Respondent who was not subject to a Consent Order by that date. In Decision No. 60956 (June 19, 1998), the Commission entered a Consent Order regarding Respondent Weiner dba RWA. Respondent Sackett failed to appear at the hearing scheduled for June 29, 1998, at which the Division appeared through counsel and presented evidence. The matter was taken under advisement pending submission of an Opinion and Order to the Commission regarding Respondent Sackett.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent Sackett, whose last known address is 2555 NW Highland Unit J, Grants Pass,
 Oregon 97256, was at all relevant times a resident of Arizona and an insurance salesman.
- 2. Respondent Sackett is not presently, nor has he ever been, registered in Arizona as a securities dealer or a securities salesman.
- 3. Respondent Weiner dba RWA is the subject of Decision No. 60956 (June 19, 1998), an Order denying an application for a securities salesman registration and for other relief, and consent to the same.
 - Between approximately October 1991 and February 1993. Respondent Sackett, acting as

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a partner with Respondent Weiner through RWA, offered and sold securities within or from Arizona in the form of fractional interests in oil and gas mineral rights from wells operated by Big Horn Oil Company ("Big Horn"), located in Oklahoma.

- 5. Respondent Sackett and Respondent Weiner each had his own clientele, but shared overhead expenses and the bulk purchase of interests from Big Horn.
- Respondent Sackett, holding himself out as a financial planner, directly or indirectly,
 offered and sold interests in the oil and gas wells mainly to his insurance clients, which were primarily
 teachers or retired teachers.
 - 7. The value of the fractional interest varied with the well in which the investor invested.
- 8. Big Horn was to locate, manage and operate the wells, with no involvement from investors.
- 9. The oil and gas interests were securities, in that investors invested money in a common enterprise with the expectation of profits to be derived substantially from the efforts of others.
- 10. Respondent Sackett, in partnership with Respondent Weiner, purchased the oil and gas interests from Big Horn at a volume discount price based on a sliding scale, after obtaining commitments from investors for the purchase of those interests.
- In addition to a fifteen percent fee to be paid out of operating revenues. Respondent Sackett and Respondent Weiner marked up the price of fractional interests in several wells to include profit and expenses for Respondents, resold the interests to investors, and split the profit between them. For example, Respondent Sackett and Respondent Weiner purchased Top Gun No. 1 interests from Big Horn for \$3,750 per 1/64th unit and resold the units to investors for \$5,750 per 1/64th unit.
- 12. Respondent Sackett and Respondent Weiner prepared offering documents summarizing certain aspects of the investment programs, describing an opportunity for monthly distributions of income and profits, and showing a track record of successful wells. The offering documents were distributed to some investors.
- 13. According to one investor, Marsha Anne McManaway, Respondent Sackett told her that even if the well she invested in was a failure, one-half of her investment would be returned.
 - 14. Ms. McManaway stated that Respondent Sackett informed her that if the well she invested

The second of th

7

8

15

12

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

26 27

28

in hit like the previous one had, she would have her investment returned and be making a profit within six months.

- Ms. Winifred Thacker Long, another investor, stated that Respondent Sackett informed her that the oil and gas interests were definitely a safe investment, a very good and sound investment. Respondent Sackett estimated a twenty percent or more annual return on her investment.
- In connection with the offer or sale of securities described above, Respondent Sackett directly or indirectly made untrue statements of material fact and omitted to state material facts which were necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made, and engaged in transactions, practices or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon offerees and investors, in violation of A.R.S. § 44-1991, including but not limited to the following:
 - (a) misrepresenting that Respondent Weiner dba RWA had prior experience in organizing and managing oil and gas investment projects in which investors had profited, and/or failing to disclose that Respondent Weiner dba RWA had no such prior experience;
 - (b) misrepresenting that the compensation of Respondents Weiner and Sackett was to be a fee equal to fifteen percent of the net operating revenue or disposition from the oil and gas project, and/or failing to disclose that Respondents Weiner and Sackett, through RWA, charged investors an undisclosed profit through a mark-up of the price of the units over the amount charged to Respondent Weiner dba RWA by Big Horn:
 - (c) failing to disclose Respondents' past experience as investors in Big Horn oil and gas projects and the operational problems encountered;
 - (d) failing to provide any information regarding the financial condition of Respondents and/o Big Horn;
 - (e) failing to disclose the prior business experience of Respondents;
 - (f) failing to disclose the specific purposes for which investor funds were to be used;
 - (g) failing to disclose that Respondent Weiner dba RWA was not registered with the Division as a securities dealer, that Respondent Sackett was not registered with the Division as a securities salesman, and that Respondent Weiner was selling these securities without the authorization of his registered dealer.
- 17. The Division recommended that pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, a fine of \$25,000 should be imposed, which the Division claimed was based upon the cost of investigation of this matter.
- Respondents Sackett and Weiner from October 1991 to February 1993.

The same of the sa

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. § 44-1801, et seq.
- The investments offered by Respondent were securities within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1801.23.
- 3. The securities were not registered under A.R.S. §§ 44-1871 through 44-1875, 44-1891 through 44-1900 or 44-1902; were not exempt securities under A.R.S. § 44-1843 or § 44-1843.01; were not offered or sold in exempt transactions under A.R.S. § 44-1844 and were not securities exempt under any rule or Order promulgated by the Commission.
- The actions and conduct of Respondent constitute the offer and/or sale of securities within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801.13 and 44-1801.19.
- 5. Respondent offered and sold unregistered securities within or from Arizona in violation of A.R.S. § 44-1841.
- 6. Respondent offered and/or sold securities within or from Arizona without being registered as a dealer or salesman in violation of A.R.S. § 44-1842.
- In connection with the offer and sale of the above securities, Respondent violated the antifraud provisions of A.R S. § 44-1991.
- Respondent should be restrained pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 from any future violations 8. of A.R.S. §§ 44-1841, 44-1842, and 44-1991, and all other provisions of the Act.
- Respondent should be ordered to pay restitution in the manner set forth below pursuant 9. to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and A.A.C. R14-4-308.
- 10. Respondent should be assessed an administrative penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036 for his violations of the Act.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pursuant to the authority granted to the Commission under A.R.S. §§ 44-2032, 44-1972, and A.A.C. R14-4-307, Respondent Douglas Dean Sackett, his agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, shall cease and desist from the following and any other violations of the Arizona Securities Act:

- 1. Offering to sell or selling securities within or from the State of Arizona, unless the securities are registered with the Commission pursuant to Articles VI or VII of the Act, an exemption under the Act is applicable, or a notice filing has been made under A.R.S. § 44-3321;
- 2. Offering to sell or selling securities within or from the State of Arizona unless prior registration as a dealer or salesman is obtained under Article IX of the Act, or an exemption from registration is applicable.
- 3. Offering to sell or selling securities within or from the State of Arizona through material misrepresentations or omissions, and/or through courses of business that would operate as a fraud or deceit, in violation of A.R.S. § 44-1991.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the authority granted to the Commission under A.R.S. § 44-2032, Respondent Douglas Dean Sackett shall, jointly and severally with any other Respondent who may be ordered to pay restitution by separate Decision of the Commission, make restitution in the amount of \$527,700 to investors, as set forth in the records obtained by the Securities Division, pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-308, subject to any set off for repayments or income received upon the sale of the security made prior to the effective date of this Decision, as verified by the Director of the Securities Division, pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-308.C; payments are to be made in full within ninety days from the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that restitution funds shall be paid to the Arizona Attorney General's Office, and shall be deposited in a trust account with a federally insured financial institution. The funds shall be disbursed pro rata in accordance with the outstanding balances to those investors entitled to restitution.

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the authority granted to the Commission under A.R.S. § 44-2036, Respondent Douglas Dean Sackett shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$25,000, with payment to be made in full within ninety days from the effective date of this Decision, payable to the State Treasurer for deposit in the General Fund of the State of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

COMMISSIONER

Executive Secretary of the Arizona

ACK ROSE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

DISSENT ______BMB:bbs

		•
1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	RONALD H. WEINER DBA RON WEINER ASSOCIATES AND DOUGLAS DEAN SACKETT
3	DOCKET NO.:	S-03047A-97-0000
4 5	Ronald H. Weiner 10250 East Mountain View #101 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258	
6 7	Douglas Dean Sackett 2555 NW Highland Unit J Grants Pass, Oregon 97256	•
8 9 10	Michael G. Burton Sr., Director Pamela T. Johnson Securities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1300 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	ON
12	Norma Martens ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OF 1275 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	FFICE
]4 15		
16 17		
18		
20		
22		
23 24		
25		

Thank you for using Return Receipt S Certified insured 000 1. D Addressee's Address 2.

Restricted Delivery 0000-15-11-14 7. Date of Deliven ☐ Registered ☐ Express Mail 5. Received By: (Print Name) P 618 181 929 Thank you for using Return Receipt Service Certified Domestic Return Receipt insured ☐ Addressee's Address 8 ☐ Restricted Delivery Bog Consult postmaster P_618 181,928. US Postal Service Receipt for Certified Mail No Insurance Coverage Provided. C Express Mail ☐ Refurn Receipt Do not use for International Mail (See reverse) ☐ Registered 7. Date of De Postage Certified Fee Special Delivery Fee Restricted Delivery Fee Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered TOTAL Postage & Fees Postmark or Date S-03047A-97-ECOO